

Appendices to Air Quality Proof of Evidence of Prof. Duncan Laxen

On behalf of Imperial Hotels

Torrington Place to Tavistock Place Traffic Order DPI/X5210/17/8

September 2017



Experts in air quality management & assessment Appendices to Proof of Evidence of Prof. Duncan Laxen



Document Control

Client	Imperial London Hotels Limited	Principal Contact	John Russell (of SCP Traffic and Transport Consultants)
	And the second state		

Tavistock Place Traffic

Document Status and Review Schedule

Report No.	Date	Status	Reviewed by
J2976A/3/F1	22 September 2017	Final Report	Dr Austin Cogan

This report has been prepared by Air Quality Consultants Ltd on behalf of the Client, taking into account the agreed scope of works. Unless otherwise agreed, this document and all other Intellectual Property Rights remain the property of Air Quality Consultants Ltd.

In preparing this report, Air Quality Consultants Ltd has exercised all reasonable skill and care, taking into account the objectives and the agreed scope of works. Air Quality Consultants Ltd does not accept any liability in negligence for any matters arising outside of the agreed scope of works. The Company operates a formal Quality Management System, which is certified to ISO 9001:2008, and a formal Environmental Management System, certified to ISO 14001:2004. QMF 08.

When issued in electronic format, Air Quality Consultants Ltd does not accept any responsibility for any unauthorised changes made by others.

When printed by Air Quality Consultants Ltd, this report will be on Evolve Office, 100% Recycled paper.

Air Quality Consultants Ltd 23 Coldharbour Road, Bristol BS6 7JT Tel: 0117 974 1086 12 Airedale Road, London SW12 8SF Tel: 0208 673 4313 aqc@aqconsultants.co.uk

Registered Office: 12 St Oswalds Road, Bristol, BS6 7HT Companies House Registration No: 2814570



Appendices

	Extract from LBC's Document 'Consultation: Torrington Place/ Tavistock Place route' 2016	
A2	World Meteorological Organization Technical Advice Note on Lower Cost Air Pollution Sensors	
A3	Tables	6
A4	Figures	7

(

Appendices to Proof of Evidence of Prof. Duncan Laxen



A1 Extract from LBC's Document 'Consultation: Torrington Place/ Tavistock Place route' 2016

A1.1 The following is a reproduction of section 5 Air Quality from the Consultation document, showing how LBC has used the results of its monitoring to 'sell the scheme'.

5. Air Quality

Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), which is harmful to human health, is the key air pollutant of concern in Central London, where many streets breach the annual mean health-based limit of 40 micrograms per cubic metre (µg/m³). The main source of NO₂ is motor traffic. Monitoring information at the three sites in the project area where nitrogen dioxide emissions have been measured indicates significant improvements in air quality, following implementation of the trial, of between 9% and 20%.

Monitor Location	Before trial 01/07/2015 - 08/11/2015	During trial 24/11/2015 – 01/07/2016	Absolute change	% Change
Gordon Square	51.38	46.67	4.71	-9%
Russell Square	46.60	41.78	4.82	-10%
Tavistock Place	33.11	26.23	6.88	- 21%



A2 World Meteorological Organization Technical Advice Note on Lower Cost Air Pollution Sensors





Technical advice note on lower cost air pollution sensors

Alastair C Lewis¹, Christoph Zellweger², Martin G Schultz³, Oksana A Tarasova⁴ and Reactive Gases Science Advisory Group, GAW.

[1] National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD United Kingdom.

[2] Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

[3] Institute for Energy and Climate Research (IEK-8), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

[4] World Meteorological Organization, 7bis Avenue de la Paix, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland

[5] Details at: http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/ScientificAdvisoryGroups.html

First issued on 12th April 2017 This revision: 6th July 2017

Introduction

Low cost air pollution sensor networks are an appealing new technology for use in both research and operational applications. They offer the potential to greatly increase the spatial resolution of observations, provide localised validation of models and more precise estimates of human exposure, particularly in locations that do not have traditional monitors. Arrays of air pollution sensors are now being used in indoors ^{1,2,3,4}, and out^{5,6,7,8} and there are an increasing diversity of applications being proposed in the atmospheric sciences⁹. Low cost sensors utilise a very wide range of different underpinning technologies and the fundamental analytical principles can differ significantly from methods used in current regulatory measurements and in global networks of observations such as WMO-Global Atmosphere Watch. This advice note is aimed at users considering adopting sensor approaches for pollution measurement, it identifies some of the basic technologies, some key operational factors and possible deployment scenarios.

Basic principles

The low cost sensor descriptor spans a very wide range of different devices from those with unit costs of only a few dollars through to complex miniaturised micro-electro-mechanical instruments costing several thousand. Most of the major urban and regional air pollutants can now be detected using sensor-based methods including O₃, CO, NO, NO₂, SO₂, total VOCs and particulate matter (PM). Many commercialised instruments package together multiple different sensors within a single device to support multi-parameter measurements.

Gas phase air pollutants are typically detected using sensors based on either metal oxide (MO) sensing or electrochemical (EC) sensing. MO sensors work on the principle of a surface oxidation of reducing gaseous pollutants that then generate a change in the electrical conductivity of the

1

semiconductor material. A measurement of the change in surface conductance, and hence resistance, is proportional to the atmospheric concentration. In EC sensors gaseous pollutants and oxygen react in a pair of amperometric fuel cells, the current generated again being proportional to the concentration of the pollutant. For particulate matter a variety of methods are available, with the most common being optical detection of particle number based on light scattering principles.

 Recommendation 1: It is essential that users identify the underpinning sensor technologies being used since this impacts on data quality and fit to application.

Key considerations

Methods for air pollution measurements in GAW, and in most regulatory environments, use analytical methods that have a high degree of molecular specificity, for example through the use of spectroscopic and mass spectrometric identification of the pollutant being measured. Whilst sensors can be optimised to maximise their responses to certain chemicals, the analytical techniques are generally less specific. Consideration must be given to potential interferences, or false signals, generated by other components present in air. There is a considerable and growing literature on this subject, beyond the scope of this advice note, but some examples are discussed here.

Some sensors show a degree of sensitivity and response to other reactive air pollutants and also to stable but abundant gases such as water vapour, methane or carbon dioxide; these interference signals must be corrected for before a chemically-specific measurement value can be reported. Many commercial devices attempt corrections of this kind automatically, but this should be independently evaluated wherever possible against known reference measurements. Optical detection of particle number uses some basic principles that are common to some more expensive reference instruments. Humidity is known to affect the response of sensor optical particle counters, and the value returned is not easily translated into a mass concentration of particles, which is the metric of air quality standards.

Current reference methods for air pollution are typically based on instruments that operate under tightly defined environmental conditions. Internal components of many instruments are temperature controlled for stability and the instruments themselves housed in climate-controlled cabinets or laboratories. Sensor packages are typically mounted outside (for example on lamp-posts), have limited or no thermal regulation and the sensing element is exposed to a very wide range of environmental conditions and are often battery powered. This inevitably impacts on the measurement and again corrections must be made for the influence of these broader environments effects.

 Recommendation 2: Sensor measurements can be impacted by a wide range of different chemical and physical interferences. Any corrections that are made to account for these need to be validated against reference measurements.

Calibration

Existing methods for air pollution measurement are supported by a traceable set of reference materials, and calibration (and zero-ing) is typically a daily or weekly occurrence. Calibration and associated QA/QC is typically one of the mostly costly aspects of operational air pollution networks. Sensor networks rely primarily on a one-time factory calibration and one-time zero setpoint. They are not easily field calibrated due to a variety of reasons, for example many sensors do not respond in a representative way to synthetic gas standards in nitrogen. Calibrating very large numbers of sensors across a city may be physically impractical. The real-world long-term stability of air pollution sensors is not yet proven and there is little peer-reviewed literature that would support that a single calibration factor would be applicable over periods of months to years. Literature sources also caution that extrapolating laboratory calibration results to the real-world may not be appropriate and that field calibration is essential to account for local environmental conditions. The relative changes in response in a population of identical sensors over time has also not yet been reported in literature and this impacts on longer-term estimates of uncertainty.

 Recommendation 3: Air pollution sensors must be treated like any other analytical instrument. They will likely require regular field calibration and will show long-term changes and drift in sensitivity and response.



Applications

There are a very broad range of different applications where air pollution sensors could be used, ranging from direct replacements for regulatory methods through to purely indicative measurements of pollution in general terms¹⁰. It is important that the analytical requirements of each application are matched against the proven capabilities of any given sensor device. Since the variety of sensors on the commercial market is wide there is no straightforward answer to the question "what can sensors be used for"? This must be established by the user on a case-by-case basis.

A generalised set of possible example applications are shown in the figure below alongside a measurement 'question' and then a set of sensor technical requirements. The scenarios increase in challenge from left to right. The applications identified here include the use of sensors to determine temporal variability, spatial variability, concentration dependence and long-terms trends. Many other applications can be conceived of, but in all cases the appropriateness of sensors for the task must be established.



low cost air pollution sensors for certain applications but not others. The advice is summarised below.

i) Peer-reviewed literature would indicate that many current sensor technologies provide a useful <u>qualitative</u> measurement of the temporal variability of general air pollution levels at a given location over periods of days to months.

ii) There is some evidence to support the use of sensors to assess spatial variability in air pollution, that is, the *relative* differences in overall air pollution between two different geographic locations.

iii) There is rather limited evidence for sensors being an appropriate method to assess the concentration dependence of a specific chemical, for example for determining compliance with legal or regulatory standards.

iv) There is no evidence for sensor approaches being currently suitable for discerning longterm trends in atmospheric composition.

3



A3 Tables

A STATE OF THE OWNER	Sludy area	and in the second			and the second second	i a series			
Monitoring Site	Monitor Type	Site Type	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Russell Square	Automatic	Urban Background	55	50	55	44	45	48	42
Shaftesbury Avenue	Automatic	Roadside	89	76	71	74	69	83	84
Euston Road	Automatic	Roadside	-	122	106	106	98	90	88
CA4	Diffusion Tube	Roadside	82	93	82	108	90	87	83
CA6	Diffusion Tube	Urban Background	34	46	39	40	36	36	31
CA10	Diffusion Tube	Urban Background	52	48	40	49	47	45	40
CA11	Diffusion Tube	Roadside	92	92	83	88	87	86	84
CA21	Diffusion Tube	Roadside	-	77	72	76	81	71	72
Objective		40							

Table 1:Measured Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations between 2010 and 2016 in the
study area a

^a Exceedances of the objective values are shown in bold.

J2976A

.



A4 Figures



Figure 1: Locations of Relevant Monitoring Sites and Study Area

(



Figure 2: Measured NO₂ Annual Mean Concentrations between 2010 and 2016 at Monitoring Sites within the Study Area with Best-fit Linear Trend Lines

ONSU

LT





Figure 3: Changes in Traffic Flows (as AADT flows) with the Scheme at LBC Count Points. The Roads with a Change in Flow of more than 1,000 AADT are Highlighted (red for an increase, green for a decrease).